



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/209,454	12/11/1998	HIDENARI TANAKA	88125/ASAHIN	6958
23548	7590 01/09/2003			
LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD 700 THIRTEENTH ST. NW SUITE 300			EXAMINER	
			LASTRA, DANIEL	
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20005-3960		ART UNIT PAPER N	
			3622	
			DATE MAILED: 01/09/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

a.		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action		09/209,454	TANAKA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		DANIEL LASTRA	3622			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 23 December 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 12/23/2Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
3.□ Ap	oplicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):				
	ewly proposed or amended claim(s) would anceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment			
	5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:					
	ne affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
	or purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment xplanation of how the new or amended claims wo	• • •	<i>'</i>			
TI	ne status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
C	claim(s) allowed:					
C	claim(s) objected to:					
C	Claim(s) rejected: <u>17-20.</u>					
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 15, 16.					
8. TI	ne proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disap	proved by the Examiner.			
	ote the attached Information Disclosure Statementother:	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	- Enchestante			
			ERIC W. STAMBER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3800			
S Patent and	Trademark Office					